

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/771,969	02/04/2004	Ligia A. Rivera	659/2240	3833
7590 05/24/2004		EXAMINER		
Jonathan P. Taylor			THOMAS, ALEXANDER S	
BRINKS HOFFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60610			1772	

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/771,969	RIVERA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alexander Thomas	1772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of the provided for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· *						
,	action is non-final.						
,							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>81-100</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>81-87 and 90-98</u> is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) 81-87 and 90-98 is/are rejected.						
	,						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 		ed in this Hational Otage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.					
	,						
Attachment(s)		(DTO 440)					
I) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
2) ☐ Notice of Braitsperson's Fatch Brawing Review (170-545) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/04/04.		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/771,969

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: applicant is requested to update the status of applications listed on page 2 of the instant specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 81-87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicants' acknowledged state of the art in view of Bornhoeft et al ('440). The reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed, namely a roll of wet wipes with perforated sheets; see page 1, lines 24-28 of the instant specification. The secondary reference discloses the desirability of the use of a salt on wet wipes; see column 1, lines 11-19 and column 1, line 62 through column 2, line 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the solution disclosed in the secondary reference on the article of the primary reference to provide a wet wipe which prevents growth of various microorganisms. Concerning the size of the roll, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adjust the size of the wet wipes to accommodate a particular end use of the wipe.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,969

Art Unit: 1772

4. Claims 90-98 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicants' acknowledged state of the art in view of Bornhoeft et al ('440) as applied to claims 81-87 above, and further in view of Nissing et al ('834). Nissing et al disclose the desirability of providing transverse grooves in wet wipe material to aid in cleaning surfaces; see column 1, lines 21-33 and Figure 5b. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide grooves in the wet wipe of the prior art article in order to increase its ability to clean surfaces.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 88, 89, 99 and 100 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. Ulmann et al is cited to show the state of the art in wet wipe rolls.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,969

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ast

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Olefoudy & Rieman